

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 460/10

Altus Group Ltd 17327 - 106A Avenue Edmonton AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held between August 23 and October 21, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll	Assessed	Municipal	Legal Description	Assessment	Assessment
Number	Value	Address		Type	Notice for:
10005580	\$3,783,500	18008 118	Plan: 0320670	Annual - New	2010
		Avenue NW	Block: 7 Lot: 10		
9974225	\$6,301,500	15603 131	Plan: 9926144	Annual – New	2010
		Avenue NW	Block: 6 Lot: 10		
9953876	\$3,854,000	17810 114	Plan: 9820739	Annual – New	2010
		Avenue NW	Block: 6 Lot: 5A		
9953877	\$7,030,500	18028 114	Plan: 9820739	Annual – New	2010
		Avenue NW	Block: 6 Lot: 5B		
2194652	\$3,546,000	14503 121A	Plan: 5951KS	Annual – New	2010
		Avenue NW	Block: 3 Lot: 1		
1523091	\$6,547,000	16607 116	Plan: 7620459	Annual - New	2010
		Avenue NW	Block: 5 Lot: 4		

Before: Board Officer:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Dale Doan, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing: Complainant Pe

Walid Melhem

Persons Appearing: Respondent Kevin Xu, Assessor

Steve Lutes, Law Branch

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated no bias with respect to the file.

All parties giving evidence during the proceedings were sworn by the Board Officer.

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

The assessments represented by the above listed roll numbers have been presented to the Board. The evidence was presented and a cursory submission was given by both parties. The parties agree that the evidence presented does not support a revision of the assessments.

ISSUES

The Complaint had attached a schedule listing numerous issues to the complaint form. However, most of those issues were abandoned and only the following issues remained for the Board to decide:

- Is the assessment of the subject property fair and equitable in comparison with similar properties?
- What is the typical market value of the subject property?

LEGISLATION

The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant is in agreement with the position highlighted under preliminary matters above.

POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT

The Respondent is in agreement with the position highlighted under preliminary matters above.

DECISION

The decision of the Board is to confirm the assessments of the above listed roll numbers.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Based on the evidence, submission and agreement of both parties, the Board confirms the assessments of the above listed roll numbers.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 4th day of November, 2010, at	the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.
Presiding Officer	

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board Larena Holdings Ltd. Williams Transfer Ltd. Day & Ross Inc. Mancal Properties Inc. Baramy Investments Ltd. J.K. Mckenzie Holdings Ltd.